UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,686	12/31/2003	George Fitzmaurice	1500.1087	1971
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 11/03/200 LSEY LLP	9	EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			SHERMAN, STEPHEN G	
WASHINGTO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/748,686	FITZMAURICE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	STEPTIEN G. SHERWAN	2029					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		TINOT NEFET WAS IT	LLD WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the process.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**						
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		imaly filed amondmor	at concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 26.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21,23,25 and 27-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ul>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13.  Other:							
/Amr Awad/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On pages 11-17 of the response the Applicant argues the rejections, specifically the Durrani and Iwema references. First, the Applicant argues that Durrani does not disclose "the arc is substantially perpendicular to a natural motion path of the natural motion" however, just because Durrani does not use these exact words does not mean Durrani does not disclose the feature. The Figures clearly show the interface the same as the Applicant's, therefore if the Applicant thinks that Durrani doesn't teach the feature, the Applicant doesn't either and is admitting 112, first paragraph problems with their claims. Secondly, the Applicant argues that Iwema does not disclose that the menu is located in the lower corner of the display but rather next to the cursor, however, Iwema was not used to teach the corner feature but rather Durrani was used to teach the interface in the lower corner. Iwema was only used for the teaching that an interface can be presented on different sides to appease right and left handed users and when this teaching is applied to Durrani it would be clear that the interface could be located in the lower left or lower right corner in order to achieve the handedness advantages to doing this taught by Iwema. Thus there is no hindsight used since one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have readily realized the advantages of the teachings as applied. Further, as shown in the Figures of Durrani the menu is located in corner, but the menu rotates such that all of the letters can be accessed, as such the menu part that is "hidden" is an underlying menu that is activated upn rotating the control wheel, where since the menu is a "cirle" then a "radius" is responsive to the underlying menu. This is clearly depicted by the Figures. If the Applicant wishes for the claim limitation to mean something more specific then the claims should be amended to more clearly and accurately claim the inven